

1. Ardigen, Kraków, Poland

* denotes equal contribution

contact: michal.warchol@ardigen.com

ABSTRACT

protocol is currently emerging as the go-to method for phenotypic screening in drug discovery. This Cell Painting nassive amounts of information encoded in multicolor images at single cell resolution, which in turn approach raises issues with proper analysis. In order to address this problem a range of proprietary and open source algorithms for cellular feature extraction has been developed, allowing for a semi-automatic analysis of high content data with decent reliability.

available solutions miss out on a significant portion of data available in phenotypic screening However, currently experiments: the chemical structure of tested compounds. While deriving properties and predictions from compounds' structures is a wholly different branch of cheminformatics, there were few efforts to combine the information from images and chemical structures into a single, more robust data structure.

We explored this approach using human-defined descriptors (CellProfiler for images and ECFP for compounds) acquiring improved results compared to each of the respective methods alone. To improve even further, we used deep representations of images (GapNet) and compounds (R-MAT), achieving state-of-the-art results for mode of action prediction in high content screening data analysis.

DATASET

We used a dataset released by Bray et al. [1] to implement and test our approach. It is a publicly available dataset of High Content Screening (HCS) images and morphological profiles of 30,000 small-molecule treatments.

The dataset was generated by applying Cell Painting assay protocol. For each compound, 6-48 fields of view were acquired with five fluorescent channels. Figure 1 presents some examples.

Using data from the ChEMBL repository [4], we assigned 19 Modes of Action (MoAs) to 2221 compounds from the dataset (Figure 4). One compound may have more than one MoA assigned.

To ensure reliability of the presented results, we use a structural split based on the hierarchical clustering of ECFP representations. As a result, the data was split into 1740 training and 481 test compounds.

METHODS

The goal of our work is to obtain the model accurately **predicting MoA** of a compound using HCS images and chemical structures as input. To address polypharmacology and the possibility of simultaneous modes of action, we defined our problem as a multi-label classification task. We compared a variety of data representations (human-defined and Al-based), as well as uni- and multimodal approaches.

Phenotypic representations. We compared two types of phenotypic representations: human-defined features obtained with Cell Profiler (CP) and AI-based features extracted from the penultimate layer of a deep convolutional neural network (GapNet-PL [2]). To obtain a phenotypic representation of a well, we used maximum aggregation over fields of view.

Structural representations. Along with the commonly used human-defined Extended-Connectivity Fingerprints (ECFP), we used deep feature representations from a proprietary graph transformer model: Relative Molecule Attention Transformer (R-MAT) [3].

Combining modalities. To fuse the visual and chemical modalities, we combined phenotypic and structural representations via concatenation. For the deep learning-based representations, we first trained the individual models in a unimodal setting, and used extracted features for concatenation.

Classification. Random Forest is used to obtain a final prediction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To analyze how meaningful the representation types are, we visualized the latent space using the UMAP algorithm. The representation obtained from deep learning-based method clusters compound of the same MoA together, thus creating representations that increases the

The effectiveness of the MoA prediction models is measured using the ROC AUC metric. One can observe that the deep learning-based models exploiting both types of data achieve the best performance and obtain the highest ROC AUC score for each of the MoAs (Figure 4).

Using an averaged ROC AUC score (Figure 5), we conclude that information fusion from both modalities (structural and phenotypic) is the most effective due to their synergy (Figure 5).

CONCLUSIONS

Phenotypic and structural modalities are complementary and their combination leads to better model performance. earning models improves the performance and reduces the computation time by 4 orders of magnitude

The deep learning multimodal model surpasses traditional approaches based on human-defined features.

REFERENCES

lataset of images and morphological profiles of 30 000 small-molecule treatments using the Cell Painting assay." Gigascience

isabeth, et al. "Human-level protein localization with convolutional neural networks." International conference on learning representations

[3] Maziarka, Łukasz, et al. "Relative Molecule Self-Attention Transformer." arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.05841 (2021).

[4] Mendez, David, et al. "ChEMBL: towards direct deposition of bioassay data." Nucleic acids research 47.D1 (2019): D930-D940. [5] McInnes, Leland, John Healy, and James Melville. "UMAP: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction." arXiv preprint

arXiv:1802.03426 (2018).

Multimodal Approach to MoA Prediction Based on Cell Painting Imaging and Chemical Structure Data

Michał Koziarski^{1,2,*}, Piotr Gaiński^{1,3,*}, Krzysztof Rataj¹, Adriana Borowa^{1,3}, Konrad Wójtowicz^{1,3}, Jakub Gwóźdź¹, Magdalena Otrocka¹, Dawid Rymarczyk^{1,3}, Michał Warchoł¹

2. Department of Systems and Computer Networks, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Wrocław, Poland 3. Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland



known MoAs. / indicates assigned MoA, X indicates not assigned MoA (ground truth).



Figure 3. Two-dimensional visualization of features extracted from images using CellProfiler (CP), chemical structures (ECFP), and our multimodal approach based on deep learning embeddings. One point corresponded to a single compound and points were generated using the UMAP algorithm [5]. One can observe that the multimodal representations are superior in separating different MoAs to the human-defined features such as CP and ECFP.



We observe that models trained on multimodal representations are superior to the ones trained on human-defined features.

create a multimodal feature vector passed to the MoA classifier. Indicates MoA presence, X indicates its absence.

the performance over models trained on individual modalities.